## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

JILLIAN BORLAND,

,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 16-11392
v  COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	Honorable Thomas L. Ludington Magistrate Judge Stephanie Dawkins Davis
Defendant.	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AFFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE COMMISSIONER, AND DISMISSING CASE

On April 18, 2016, Plaintiff filed the instant suit seeking judicial review of the Commissioner's unfavorable decision disallowing benefits. ECF No. 1. The Court referred this case to Magistrate Judge Stephanie Dawkins Davis for all pretrial purposes. ECF No. 4. The parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment. ECF Nos. 15, 19. On August 18, 2017, Judge Davis issued a report recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied, and that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be granted. ECF No. 23. Specifically, Judge Davis found that the ALJ's assessment of Plaintiff's credibility was supported by substantial evidence, the ALJ's residual functional capacity assessment was supported by substantial evidence, and the ALJ adequately analyzed the opinion of Plaintiff's treating physician, Dr. Sandeep Sood. Rep. & Rec. at 14–22, ECF No. 23.

Although the magistrate judge's report explicitly states that the parties to this action could object to and seek review of the recommendation within fourteen days of service of the report, neither party has filed any objections. The election not to file objections to the magistrate

judge's report releases the Court from its duty to independently review the record. Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The failure to file objections to the report and recommendation

waives any further right to appeal.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation,

ECF No. 23, is **ADOPTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 15, is

DENIED.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 19, is

**GRANTED** 

It is further **ORDERED** that the findings of the Commissioner are **AFFIRMED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED**.

s/Thomas L. LudingtonTHOMAS L. LUDINGTONUnited States District Judge

Dated: September 13, 2017

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on September 13, 2017.

s/Kelly Winslow

KELLY WINSLOW, Case Manager

- 2 -